UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,221	10/29/2003	Craig Ogg	61135/P022US/10303187	9619	
	7590 07/21/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P	9	EXAMINER		
2200 ROSS AV			WU, RUTAO		
SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/696,221	0/696,221 OGG, CRAIG	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROB WU	3628	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>ROB WU</u> .	(3) <u>Lisa Joni Collins</u> .		
(2) <u>R. Ross Viguet</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 July 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9 and 24</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Ogg, Allport, Katikaner	<u>ni</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ງ)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and the re Examiner made suggestions to the representatives on languand distinguish the claims from the prior art.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPULE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPULE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STATEMENT OF TH	epresentatives discussed the languages that would further claring the languages that would further claring the languages that would further claring the languages that would further agreements which the examiner agreements that would be compared to the language of the la	reed would rend vould render the SUBSTANCE (read been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	laims. The invention er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Rob Wu/ Examiner Art Unit 3628			

Application No.

Applicant(s)